

## ZIONISM'S ROLE IN THE ISRAELI-PALESTINIAN CONFLICT

Wajid Ali<sup>\*1</sup>, Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman<sup>2</sup>, Atif Noor<sup>3</sup>

<sup>\*1</sup>Wajid Ali, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Dr. Tansif Ur Rehman, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Atif Noor, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Pakistan

<sup>\*1</sup>wajidkhanshar123456@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>tansif@live.com, <sup>3</sup>atifnaich3@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

*This research investigates the intersection of war crimes and Zionism, focusing on historical and contemporary contexts. War crimes under international law include severe violations of the Geneva Conventions, as well as other breaches during the conflict, such as targeted attacks on civilians, illegal settlements, and destruction of property. Zionism, a nationalist and political movement, supports the establishment and preservation of a Jewish state in Palestine and is central to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. It also explores the legal frameworks for investigating these crimes, particularly the roles of the International Criminal Court (ICC) and United Nations (UN) investigations. The study aims to provide a balanced perspective by examining war crimes committed by Israeli forces alongside the actions of Palestinian groups, especially within the context of asymmetric warfare. Ultimately, the study seeks to contribute to a nuanced understanding of the intersection between Zionism and war crimes in one of the world's most enduring and complex conflicts.*

**Keywords:** Geneva Conventions, international humanitarian law, Israel, Palestine, war crimes, Zionism

### INTRODUCTION

The relationship between war crimes and Zionism is a highly complex and contentious issue, closely linked to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and the broader geopolitical situation in the Middle East. War crimes, as defined in international law, refer to serious violations of the laws of war, including deliberate attacks against civilians, unlawful killings, destruction of property, and other acts in violation of the Geneva Conventions (Masudi et al., 2022). The topic of war crimes in relation to Zionism presents a complex and contentious landscape that has evolved over decades, particularly through the lens of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Anwar & Abbas, 2024). Zionism, a nationalist movement advocating for the establishment of a Jewish homeland in Palestine,

has faced extensive scrutiny regarding its implications for Palestinian rights and sovereignty. This conflict, steeped in historical grievances, religious significance, and geopolitical interests, raises critical questions about the legality and morality of state actions, particularly during periods of escalated violence (Zipperstein, 2021).

In recent years, the Israeli government's military operations have increasingly come under the lens of international law, particularly with accusations of war crimes during conflicts in Gaza (Mahwati & Nanda, 2022). Reports from organizations such as Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch have documented civilian casualties, destruction of infrastructure, and other actions that could constitute war crimes under international

humanitarian law. These actions challenge the narrative surrounding Zionism, as they compel a reassessment of the moral and ethical implications of state actions justified under the banner of national security (Imtiaz et al., 2022).

Historically, the foundations of Zionism and its resultant policies have been shaped by the aftermath of World War II and the Holocaust, which galvanized support for a Jewish homeland. However, this historical context does not absolve contemporary state actions from legal scrutiny. The United Nations, through various resolutions, has repeatedly called for an end to the occupation and emphasized the need for Israel to adhere to International Humanitarian Law (Loewenstein & Moor, 2024). The paradox lies in the struggle between a nation's right to self-determination and the rights of those living under occupation, a theme that resonates deeply in legal and moral debates. Furthermore, the International Criminal Court (ICC) has become a focal point in the discourse surrounding war crimes and Zionism. In 2021, the ICC announced its intention to investigate war crimes in Palestinian territories, an unprecedented move that highlighted the growing international consensus regarding the need for accountability (Hasna, 2024).

The investigation has reignited discussions about Zionism, as proponents argue that the movement's foundational principles often lead to policies that prioritize territorial claims over human rights (Falki & Asrar, 2024). The impact of public opinion and activism cannot be overlooked in this dialogue. The boycott, divestment, and sanctions (BDS) movement has gained traction as a means to challenge Israeli policies and advocate for Palestinian rights, framing its arguments within the context of International Law and Human Rights. This grassroots activism underscores the critical role that public sentiment and International Advocacy play in shaping the narrative around war crimes associated with Zionism (Purwono, 2024). In addition to the legal framework, cultural and academic perspectives have emerged that analyze the implications of Zionism on conflict and war crimes. Scholars have increasingly explored the intersection of nationalism, colonialism, and humanitarian law, emphasizing the need for a

nuanced understanding of how historical narratives inform present-day realities (Davoudpour, 2024). These academic inquiries are crucial for unpacking the complex relationships between identity, statehood, and the rule of law (Afana, 2023).

### **Research Justification**

The reason for the study of war crimes and Zionism is crucial to understanding the complex dynamics of one of the most enduring and contentious geopolitical issues of our time: the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The study aims to explore how Zionism, as a political movement committed to a Jewish homeland, intersects with war crimes in the context of the ongoing conflict. This issue is important because both Israeli military actions and Palestinian resistance are often framed in the context of war crimes and are subject to extensive international scrutiny.

The rationale for this study is to explore the significant legal and ethical issues surrounding war. Under international law, war crimes are severe violations of the law of armed conflict. This study aims to analyze whether actions related to Zionist ideology, especially those related to the creation and defense of the state of Israel, fit these definitions. Furthermore, the legitimacy of claims will be evaluated from multiple perspectives, contributing to an unbiased understanding of the conflict. This study will fill an academic gap by critically analyzing historical and contemporary aspects of Zionism related to war crimes. Its results will contribute to the debate on international law and improve approaches to conflict resolution in the region.

### **Research Methodology**

This study employed a systematic review methodology, with research objectives established accordingly. A comprehensive literature review was conducted (Komba & Lwoga, 2020). Research findings were categorized based on their content (Hiver et al., 2021; Petticrew & Roberts, 2006), and classified information was incorporated into the study by organizing it into headings (Gan et al., 2021; Pawson et al., 2005). The evaluation of classified information and titles formed the basis of the study (Page, 2021; Rahi, 2017), ensuring the

integrity of the research subject and its contents (Egger et al., 2022; Victor, 2008).

### Literature Review

The relationship between Zionism and war crimes has been a focal point of scholarly debate, characterized by complex historical narratives, legal frameworks, and political ideologies. This literature review synthesizes vital contributions to the field, illustrating how various scholars have approached the interplay between these topics. Zionism emerged in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century as a nationalist movement advocating for a Jewish homeland in response to pervasive antisemitism in Europe. Early Zionist leaders, such as Theodor Herzl and Chaim Weizmann, envisioned a haven for Jews. However, this vision often marginalized the existing Arab population in Palestine. Edward Said, in his seminal work *Orientalism* (1978), argues that the Zionist narrative created a dichotomy between the Jewish struggle for survival and the perceived backwardness of the Arab world, effectively sidelining Palestinian voices and experiences (Mahaseth & Karki, 2022).

The 1948 Arab-Israeli war serves as a central event in discussions of war crimes, resulting in the establishment of the state of Israel and the displacement of approximately 700,000 Palestinians. Historians Ilan Pappé and Benny Morris provide contrasting interpretations of these events. Pappé, in *Ethnic Cleansing in Palestine* (2006), characterizes the systematic expulsion of Palestinians as ethnic cleansing, aligning this classification with legal definitions of war crimes in international law. Conversely, Morris suggests a more nuanced view, proposing that while violence and expulsions occurred, they were not premeditated but rather emergent from wartime dynamics (Bracka & Bracka, 2021).

This debate reflects broader questions about intention and accountability in wartime conduct, illustrating how historical narratives continue to influence contemporary perspectives on the conflict. Legal frameworks surrounding war crimes in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict have been critically examined through the lens of international law, particularly the Fourth Geneva

Convention, which delineates protections for civilians during wars. Israel's military operations, especially in Gaza, may constitute severe violations of international humanitarian law. Dugard, a former UN special rapporteur, emphasizes the necessity of accountability for these actions, asserting that Israel's actions warrant serious scrutiny under international law (Hassan & Tiwari, 2021). This focus on legal accountability raises essential questions about the enforcement of international norms and the role of global institutions in addressing potential war crimes within this highly contentious context (Craig, 2022).

The role of international institutions, such as the International Criminal Court (ICC), is also a significant aspect of this discourse. The ICC has faced criticism for its selective approach to war crimes, and scholars argue for a more robust international legal framework to address violations effectively (Diderik, 2024). Schabas explores jurisdictional complexities, particularly in relation to the Palestinian authority's push for recognition, raising questions about the balance of power in international law and the influence of geopolitical alliances. The perceived ineffectiveness of such institutions often leads to frustration among Palestinian rights advocates and calls for reform in international legal practices to ensure justice and accountability (Alashqar, 2022).

Media representations play a crucial role in shaping public perceptions of Zionism and war crimes. Bayazid (2024) examines how media framing influences public opinion, often depicting Israeli military actions as defensive while downplaying Palestinian suffering. Butler's critique of these narratives underscores the need to recognize the humanity of all parties involved, challenging binary perspectives that dominate discussions about the conflict. Roy further highlights how the humanitarian crisis in Gaza is frequently eclipsed by geopolitical considerations, complicating efforts toward justice and accountability. This dynamic raises essential questions about the responsibility of media in shaping narratives that impact public understanding and policy responses related to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Hossain et al., 2023).

The impact of these narratives extends into peace processes and negotiations. War crimes complicate

dialogue between Israelis and Palestinians, fostering an environment of suspicion and resentment. Without addressing historical grievances, achieving lasting peace is improbable (Devlaminck, 2023). The contemporary discourse surrounding the boycott, divestment, and sanctions (BDS) movement reflects a growing recognition of the moral implications tied to complicity in war crimes, advocating for accountability and the recognition of Palestinian rights. Such movements underscore the necessity for a comprehensive approach to conflict resolution that includes acknowledging historical injustices and promoting equitable dialogue (Angwaomaodoko, 2024).

Moreover, the intersection of Zionism and war crimes raises vital questions about identity, nationalism, and their broader implications for both Israeli and Palestinian societies (Ashraf & Baqi, 2023). Emphasizes the necessity of contextualizing Israel's narrative of victimization within the historical realities of Palestinian dispossession and suffering, advocating for a comprehensive understanding of history that acknowledges the complexities of identity and the repercussions of colonialism (Jamkhandi, 2022). This examination not only highlights the intricacies of the conflict but also points to the urgent need for inclusive narratives that recognize the rights and experiences of both peoples.

The literature on war crimes and Zionism presents a rich and multifaceted discourse that integrates historical analysis, legal assessment, and the influence of media narratives. This intersection highlights the ongoing struggles for justice and recognition faced by Israelis and Palestinians. As research evolves, a multidisciplinary approach is crucial for deepening the understanding of this complex issue, illuminating pathways toward reconciliation and accountability in the region. This body of work enhances our comprehension of past injustices and lays a foundation for future inquiries into the prospects for peace and justice (Arifuddin, 2022).

## **Relevant Laws Encompassing War Crimes and Zionism**

### **1. International Humanitarian Law (IHL):**

This includes the Geneva Conventions, which govern the conduct of armed conflict and the protection of civilians. Violations may constitute war crimes.

### **2. Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC):**

This statute defines war crimes, genocide, and crimes against humanity, providing a legal basis for prosecution.

### **3. United Nations Resolutions:**

Various resolutions, including those from the UN General Assembly and Security Council, address conflict-related issues in Israeli-Palestinian and may reference legal obligations and violations.

### **4. Customary International Law:**

Many principles of IHL are considered customary international law, binding even on states that have not ratified specific treaties.

### **5. National Laws:**

Different countries have their legal frameworks regarding war crimes, which may be relevant if cases are prosecuted domestically.

### **6. International Court of Justice (ICJ) Jurisprudence:**

The ICJ has addressed issues related to occupation, statehood, and human rights, which can inform discussions of war crimes.

### **7. Reports from International Bodies:**

Investigations and reports from organizations like the UN Human Rights Council can provide insights into war crimes.

### **8. Universal Jurisdiction:**

Some countries allow for prosecuting war crimes regardless of where they occurred, which can be relevant for holding individuals accountable.

## **Current Scenario of the Conflict**

The current geopolitical landscape involving Iran, Israel, Palestine, Lebanon, and Hezbollah is marked by escalating tensions and conflict, significantly influencing discussions on war crimes and human rights violations in the region. The interplay between these actors complicates the already intricate Israeli-Palestinian conflict, highlighting the broader implications for regional stability

(Masudi et al., 2022). As various factions vie for power and influence, the situation remains volatile, making any resolution increasingly challenging. The involvement of external actors, particularly Iran, adds another layer of complexity to an already fraught environment. The historical grievances of both Palestinians and Israelis continue to drive the conflict, while the roles of Hezbollah and other militant groups further exacerbate tensions. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for grasping the current scenario (Mahwati, & Nanda, 2022).

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict has long been a focal point of regional tensions. Recently, the involvement of Iran as a supporter of Palestinian groups, including Hamas and Islamic Jihad, has complicated the situation further. Iran's backing encompasses financial support, military training, and weaponry aimed at bolstering resistance against Israel. This dynamic exacerbates hostilities, as Israel views Iranian influence as a direct threat to its security (Miller, 2022). Furthermore, the rhetoric surrounding this support invokes a narrative of anti-imperialism and liberation, which resonates with various factions within the region. The result is an intensifying cycle of violence that has far-reaching consequences for all involved (Corsten, 2021).

Hezbollah, a Lebanese militant group backed by Iran, remains another critical player in the region. The organization has engaged in several conflicts with Israel, most notably the 2006 Lebanon War, and continues to pose a military challenge along Israel's northern border. Hezbollah's military capabilities and its role in regional politics complicate Israel's security calculations (Rasheed et al., 2024). The group's rhetoric and actions are often framed as a form of resistance against Israeli aggression, garnering support among certain segments of the Lebanese population and broader Arab communities. This ongoing conflict not only heightens tensions but also serves further to entrench sectarian divides in Lebanon and the region (Nabiebu et al., 2024).

In this context, war crimes have surfaced on multiple fronts. Israel has faced accusations of committing war crimes during its military operations in Gaza, particularly during escalations

in 2014 and 2021. Reports from human rights organizations indicate that Israeli airstrikes have resulted in significant civilian casualties and the destruction of essential infrastructure (Barari & Yacoub, 2024). These actions raise concerns about adherence to the principles of distinction and proportionality under international humanitarian law. Conversely, groups like Hamas and Hezbollah have also been accused of war crimes for their tactics, such as indiscriminate rocket fire into civilian areas in Israel, undermining their claims of legitimate resistance (Murad, 2024).

Iran's involvement adds a further dimension to the conflict, as its support for groups like Hamas and Hezbollah is often framed within the context of anti-Zionism and regional resistance. However, Iran's actions draw scrutiny under international law, especially regarding the arming of non-state actors engaged in armed conflict. The transfer of weapons to groups committing acts of terrorism complicates Iran's standing in international law (Sabido, 2022). Additionally, the ideological motivations behind this support, including resistance against perceived imperialism, can sometimes obscure the humanitarian implications of these actions. This multifaceted involvement necessitates careful analysis to understand the broader repercussions for regional stability (Ambos, 2024).

The United Nations has attempted to address the humanitarian situation in the region through various resolutions and investigations. However, political divisions within the UN Security Council often hinder effective action. Reports from UN agencies have highlighted the dire humanitarian conditions in Gaza, exacerbated by blockades and repeated conflicts. Calls for accountability regarding potential war crimes have emerged but remain largely unfulfilled due to geopolitical complexities. The international community's response has often been characterized by inaction or limited engagement, undermining efforts to establish justice. As a result, the humanitarian crises faced by civilians continue to worsen amid the ongoing violence (Zipperstein, 2022).

The situation involving Iran, Israel, Palestine, Lebanon, and Hezbollah reflects a deeply entrenched conflict with historical, political, and legal dimensions. The ongoing violence and war

crimes underscore the urgent need for accountability and justice. For any resolution to be sustainable, it must consider the historical grievances of both Israelis and Palestinians alongside the broader regional dynamics influenced by external actors like Iran. As the international community watches closely, the hope for dialogue and peace remains precarious amid the realities of armed conflict and geopolitical maneuvering. Addressing the humanitarian consequences of this conflict is paramount, requiring concerted efforts to uphold human rights and international law in a region fraught with tension (Mahaseth & Karki, 2022).

### Discussion

The debate around war crimes and Zionism is both complex and contentious, reflecting deep historical grievances and ongoing geopolitical tensions. This discourse highlights the dichotomy between narratives of victimization and resistance held by both Israelis and Palestinians. For many Israelis, the creation of a Jewish home was a necessary response to centuries of antisemitism, culminating in the holocaust. However, this historical context often overshadows the experience of Palestinians, who view the founding of Israel and the subsequent conflicts as a catastrophic dispossession. This perspective is echoed in the works of Pakistani scholars, who emphasize the importance of recognizing the historical injustices faced by the Palestinian people.

Scholars such as Ilan Pappé argue that the actions taken during the 1948 Arab-Israeli war constituted ethnic cleansing, challenging the legitimacy of Israel's founding narrative and invoking international law regarding war crimes. In contrast, Israeli narratives emphasize security and survival, framing military actions as defensive rather than aggressive. This dichotomy complicates discussions of accountability and the applicability of international humanitarian law, particularly in the context of ongoing military operations in Gaza. Pakistani analysts have similarly critiqued the lack of accountability for these actions, suggesting that a failure to address these violations perpetuates the cycle of violence in the region.

Furthermore, media portrayals and political rhetoric have significantly shaped public perceptions, often reinforcing one-sided narratives. Pakistani media, for instance, frequently highlights the plight of Palestinians, contributing to a broader solidarity movement in the country. This portrayal emphasizes the humanitarian crises resulting from military actions, contrasting sharply with the framing often found in Western media. The role of international institutions, such as the International Criminal Court (ICC), further complicates matters, as their effectiveness in addressing war crimes remains a topic of intense debate. Critics argue that selective involvement may undermine its credibility, while supporters highlight the necessity of holding all parties accountable for violations of international law.

Ultimately, the discourse on war crimes and Zionism underscores the need for a nuanced understanding that acknowledges the historical complexity and diverse perspectives involved. Promoting a dialogue recognizing both narratives is essential to any meaningful path toward reconciliation, justice, and lasting peace in the region. Addressing these narratives with sensitivity and a commitment to mutual understanding could pave the way for more productive discussions, allowing both communities to confront their pasts and work collaboratively toward a shared future.

### Conclusion

The relationship between Zionism and war crimes captures a deeply ingrained conflict characterized by conflicting narratives, past injustices, and ongoing struggles for recognition and identity. Although presented as a necessary reaction to antisemitism, Zionism's historical roots are inextricably linked to the immense suffering endured by the Palestinian people during Israel's founding and the struggles that followed. Academic contributions provide light on the complexities of these events and highlight the importance of international law in resolving charges of war crimes. Historians such as Ilan Pappé and legal specialists like John Dugard have significantly contributed to this understanding. In addition, public perceptions and foreign reactions are greatly shaped by the media and political debate. The

stories that are written about these incidents reveal underlying power relations, which makes the pursuit of justice and responsibility more difficult. It is becoming clear from talks about peace processes that trust and communication between Israelis and Palestinians cannot be fostered unless past grievances are addressed. Going forward, comprehending the complex nature of this problem will require a multidisciplinary approach that welcomes all points of view. Recognizing the truth in both stories can open the door to a more inclusive conversation and ultimately aid in attempts toward peace. In order to ensure that history does not repeat itself in cycles of violence and suffering, the route toward peace needs not just acknowledging past injustices but also committing a shared future that respects the rights and ambitions of both peoples.

## **Recommendations**

### **1. Inclusive History Education:**

Develop programs that present a balanced perspective on Israeli and Palestinian history, promoting understanding and empathy among students. These programs should incorporate multiple narratives, allowing learners to appreciate the complexities of both sides' experiences. We can help shape future generations better equipped to engage with this sensitive topic by fostering a more inclusive curriculum. Additionally, providing training for educators on how to approach these subjects thoughtfully is essential for effective teaching. Ultimately, education can be a powerful tool for reconciliation and mutual respect.

### **2. Support Independent Investigations:**

Encourage the establishment of independent international commissions to investigate war crimes in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, ensuring transparency and accountability. These investigations can help build trust among the affected communities and provide a clearer understanding of events on the ground. It is crucial that such bodies operate free from political influence to maintain credibility. Their findings can inform public discourse and legal actions, contributing to a more just resolution of conflicts. Fostering an environment where accountability is prioritized is essential for long-term peace.

### **2. Promote Dialogue Initiatives:**

Facilitate dialogue programs that unite Israeli and Palestinian voices to share personal stories and experiences, aiming to humanize the conflict and increase mutual understanding. These initiatives can create safe spaces for individuals from both sides to express their perspectives, fostering empathy and breaking down stereotypes. Storytelling can be a powerful way to connect on a human level, making the abstract conflict more tangible. By emphasizing shared humanity, such programs can encourage collaborative efforts toward peace. Ultimately, open dialogue is critical to building bridges between communities.

### **4. Legal Advocacy:**

Support legal initiatives that seek justice for victims of war crimes, advocating for the application of international law to ensure accountability for all parties involved. It includes backing organizations that work to document violations and provide legal representation to affected individuals. Enhancing legal frameworks can help create a culture of accountability, deterring future violations. It is vital to ensure that legal mechanisms are accessible to all parties, regardless of their background. Effective legal advocacy can contribute to a more just society and bolster trust in institutions.

### **5. Media Literacy Campaigns:**

Launch media literacy initiatives to help the public critically evaluate media coverage of the conflict, encouraging a deep understanding of the issues at stake. These campaigns can empower individuals to discern bias and misinformation in reporting, leading to more informed public discourse. We can help audiences engage with complex narratives more thoughtfully by fostering critical thinking skills. Workshops and resources can equip people with the tools to analyze news critically. Media literacy can significantly shape public perception and foster a more nuanced understanding of the conflict.

### **6. Cultural Exchange Programs:**

Promote cultural exchange programs that foster collaboration between Israeli and Palestinian

artists, scholars, and community leaders to build bridges and reduce prejudice. These exchanges can allow individuals to share their cultures and experiences, fostering mutual respect and understanding. Participants can constructively explore commonalities and differences through art, music, and dialogue. Such initiatives can create lasting relationships that transcend political divides. By celebrating diversity and promoting collaboration, cultural exchanges can contribute to a more peaceful coexistence

### Research Limitations

Research on war crimes and Zionism faces significant limitations that impact its comprehensiveness. Access to primary sources from conflict zones is often restricted, hindering thorough analysis. Additionally, biases in scholarly and media narratives complicate interpretations, as sources may reflect varying political agendas. Legal ambiguities regarding international law raise questions about classifying acts as war crimes. Ethical concerns also emerge when studying sensitive situations involving vulnerable populations. Recognizing these challenges is crucial for contextualizing research and enhancing understanding of this complex issue.

### Research Implications

This research on war crimes and Zionism has significant implications for both academic and policy discussions. It highlights the need for a nuanced understanding of historical narratives shaping contemporary legal and moral claims. By critically analyzing war violations, this work can inform international legal frameworks and advocacy efforts for accountability. Furthermore, it encourages interdisciplinary approaches integrating historical, sociopolitical, and legal perspectives, fostering a deeper understanding of conflict dynamics. Ultimately, this research can guide policymakers in addressing complex grievances and promote dialogue to achieve lasting peace. As such, it contributes to broader discussions on justice, human rights, and the role of nationalism in shaping conflict.

### Future Research Directions

Future research on war crimes and Zionism can explore several key areas to deepen understanding and influence discourse. First, investigating how different national narratives shape perceptions and prosecutions of war crimes can reveal patterns and discrepancies in accountability. Additionally, assessing the impact of media representations on public opinion is crucial, as narratives often drive governmental responses. Examining the intersection of international human rights standards and the laws of armed conflict within the context of Zionism can highlight gaps in legal protections. Furthermore, studying the role of NGOs in documenting war crimes can provide insights into accountability movements. Ultimately, these avenues of inquiry can foster more nuanced discussions about justice and human rights within the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

### REFERENCES

- Afana, R. (2023). The occupation–colonialism continuum: Impact on transitional justice in Palestine/Israel. In *Prolonged occupation and international law* (pp. 133-158). Brill Nijhoff. <https://brill.com/edcollchap/book/9789004503939/BP000008.xml>
- Alashqar, Y. (2022). Heritage in the Israeli–Palestinian conflict, sociopolitical perspective. In *Reconciliation, Heritage and Social Inclusion in the Middle East and North Africa* (pp. 315-328). Springer International Publishing. [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-08713-4\\_20](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-08713-4_20)
- Ambos, K. (2024). Criminal "apartheid" in the occupied Palestinian territory?: A call for a more nuanced approach from the perspective of international criminal law. *Fordham International Law Journal*, 47(4), 485. <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2880&context=ilj>



- Angwaomaodoko, E. A. (2024). The re-examination of the Israel-Hamas war in the light of the just war theory. *Journal of Asian Development*, 10(1), 40-56.  
<https://journalpro.org/index.php/jad/article/view/58>
- Anwar, R., & Abbas, T. (2024). The Israel Hamas conflict: An analysis on threats and security implications posed by Iran in the Middle East. *South Asian Studies*, 39(1), 17.  
[https://pu.edu.pk/images/journal/csas/PDF/2\\_39\\_1\\_24.pdf](https://pu.edu.pk/images/journal/csas/PDF/2_39_1_24.pdf)
- Arifuddin, M. T. (2022, April). Why media, women, and children cry in Palestine: The case study of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in May 2021. In *ICGCS 2021: Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Gender, Culture and Society*, ICGCS 2021, 30-31 August 2021, Padang, Indonesia (pp. 480). European Alliance for Innovation.
- Ashraf, M., & Baqi, A. (2023). Analyzing the Israel-Palestine conflicts: Assessing the impact and effectiveness of the involvement of Islamic countries. *Regional Lens*, 2(1), 40-49.  
<https://submissions.regionallens.com/index.php/rl/article/view/13>
- Barari, H. A., & Yacoub, R. (2024). Unmasking media bias and religious Zionism's impeding political influence on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. *American Journal of Arts and Human Science*, 3(3), 1-11.  
<https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/ajahs/article/view/2461>
- Bayazid, A. A. (2024). The absence of peace between the Palestinians and Israel (Bachelor's thesis) UIS.  
<https://uis.brage.unit.no/uis-xmlui/handle/11250/3138816>
- Bracka, J., & Bracka, J. (2021). International criminal justice, the ICC, and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. In *Transitional Justice for Israel/Palestine: Truth-Telling and Empathy in Ongoing Conflict*, (pp. 159-208).  
[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-89435-1\\_5](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-89435-1_5)
- Corsten, A. (2021). Jewish left-wing intellectuals in postwar Germany: The case of Micha Brumlik and the Israeli Palestinian conflict between antisemitism and anti-Zionism. In *The European Left and the Jewish Question, 1848-1992: Between Zionism and Antisemitism*, (pp. 263-281).  
[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-56662-3\\_17](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-56662-3_17)
- Craig, A. (2022). The United Nations and international law. In *Routledge Companion to the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict* (pp. 377-393). Routledge.
- Davoudpour, A. R. (2024). The role of German philosophers in violent social movements: The innate potential of German philosophy for violent movements and war crimes. *Journal of Iranian International Legal Studies*, 2957-2169.  
<https://journal.iintbar.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/The-Role-of-German-Philosophers-in-Violent-Social-Movements.pdf>
- Devlaminck, M. (2023). The Israeli-Palestinian question before the international criminal court: Does the court have jurisdiction. Ghent University.  
[https://libstore.ugent.be/fulltxt/RUG01/03/143/086/RUG01-003143086\\_2023\\_0001\\_AC.pdf](https://libstore.ugent.be/fulltxt/RUG01/03/143/086/RUG01-003143086_2023_0001_AC.pdf)
- Diderik, M. (2024). The examining counter-colonial criminology's impact on the Israel- Hamas war: An analysis of crimes against humanity and international humanitarian law. *The Annual Review of Criminal Justice Studies*, 2, 294-338.

- <https://journals.calstate.edu/arcjs/article/view/4050>
- Egger, M., Higgins, J. P., & Smith, G. D. (Eds.). (2022). *Systematic reviews in health research: Meta-analysis in context*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Falki, S. M., & Asrar, S. (2024). Analysis of the contemporary politics of Palestine-Israel conflict and role of major powers. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 123-131. <https://ojs.jdss.org.pk/journal/article/view/1039>
- Gan, J., Xie, L., Peng, G., Xie, J., Chen, Y., & Yu, Q. (2021). Systematic review on modification methods of dietary fiber. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 119, 106872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106872>
- Hassan, M. T., & Tiwari, D. (2021). Tenuous accountability: Armed groups, international law and the Israel-Palestine conflict. *CRIL Journal of International Law and Policy*, 1. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=4366609](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4366609)
- Hasna, M. (2024). The role of Aqsa flood attacks (October 7<sup>th</sup> 2023) in the religious Palestinian-Zionist conflict (Master's thesis). Mohamed Khider University of Biskra. [http://archives.univ-biskra.dz/bitstream/123456789/28994/1/MOUFFOK\\_Hasna.pdf](http://archives.univ-biskra.dz/bitstream/123456789/28994/1/MOUFFOK_Hasna.pdf)
- Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., & Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Language Teaching Research*, 13621688211001289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211001289>
- Hossain, R., Pingki, R. A., & Raka, S. U. (2023). The Israel-Palestine conflict: Unveiling the religious dimensions. <https://www.academia.edu/download/109017437/RakhaxRiphath.pdf>
- Imtiaz, A., Khan, D., Lyu, H., & Luo, J. (2022). Taking sides: Public opinion over the Israel-Palestine Conflict in 2021. ArXiv Preprint: 2201.05961. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.05961>
- Jamkhandi, K. (2022). Human rights violations in the Israel-Palestine conflict-An analysis. *Indian Journal of Integrated Research in Law*, 2, 1. [https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get\\_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/injloitd3&section=19](https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/injloitd3&section=19)
- Komba, M. M., & Lwoga, E. T. (2020). Systematic review as a research method in library and information science. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1471-9.ch005>
- Loewenstein, A., & Moor, A. (Eds.). (2024). *After Zionism: One state for Israel and Palestine*. Saqi.
- Mahaseth, H., & Karki, N. (2022). Understanding the Israel-Palestine issue and its relation with the international criminal Court. *Thailand Journal of International Law*, 1(1), 37-53. <https://pure.jgu.edu.in/id/eprint/5395/>
- Mahwati, T., & Nanda, A. R. (2022). Analysis of the Palestinian and Israeli conflict in the perspective of International Humanitarian Law. *International Law Discourse in Southeast Asia*, 1(1), 23-42. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/ildisea/article/view/56873>
- Masudi, J. A., Mehdi, S. K., & Abbas, S. J. (2022). Human rights violations by Israel under international law. *Pakistan Journal of International Affairs*, 5(2). <https://www.pjia.com.pk/index.php/pjia/article/view/453>
- Miller, H. (2022). The effects of the antisemitism envoy in the global effort to combat contemporary antisemitism (Doctoral thesis). Columbia University. <https://academiccommons.columbia.edu/doi/10.7916/7ydv-ny30>
- Murad, M. A. (2024). Jurisdiction of ICC over alleged war crimes and crimes against humanity against Palestinians under the

- complementarity principle. *Brawijaya Law Journal*, 11(1), 112-134. <https://lawjournal.ub.ac.id/index.php/law/article/view/1262>
- Nabiebu, M., Alobo, E. E., Ijiomah, A. O., & Ipuole, R. O. (2024). The Israeli-Palestinian conflict: Implications for the principles of distinction and self-defence in international humanitarian law. *GNOSI: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis*, 7(1), 134-149. <http://gnosijournal.com/index.php/gnosi/article/view/255>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., & Moher, D. (2021). Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: Development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 134, 103-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.02.003>
- Pawson, R., Greenhalgh, T., Harvey, G., & Walshe, K. (2005). Realist review - A new method of systematic review designed for complex policy interventions. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 10(1), 21-34. <https://doi.org/10.1258/1355819054308530>
- Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887>
- Purwono, P. Y. (2024). Does Israel commit genocide crimes against Palestine perspective of former chief prosecutor of the international criminal court. *Paramasastra: Jurnal Ilmiah Bahasa Sastra dan Pembelajarannya*, 11(2), 169-187. <https://journal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/paramasastra/article/view/32405>
- Rahi, S. (2017). Research design and methods: A systematic review of research paradigms, sampling issues, and instruments development. *International Journal of Economics & Management Sciences*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000403>
- Rasheed, B., Ahmad, G., & Khan, K. (2024). Victim of Victims: An overview of Naqba and holocaust, addressing historical injustices to achieve sustainable peace for Israel-Palestine conflict. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 12(1). <https://esciencepress.net/journals/index.php/JSAS/article/view/5087>
- Sabido, R. S. (2022). Still misreporting Gaza: Violence and context in the british press coverage of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in 2021. In *Journalism and Foreign Policy* (pp. 65-81). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003162964-5/still-misreporting-gaza-ruth-sanz-sabido>
- Victor, L. (2008). Systematic reviewing in the social sciences: Outcomes and explanation. *Enquire*, 1(1), 32-46. <https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/sociology/documents/enquire/volume-1-issue-1-victor.pdf>
- Zipperstein, S. E. (2021). *Zionism, Palestinian nationalism and the law: 1939-1948*. Routledge.
- Zipperstein, S. E. (2022). Legal framing and lawfare in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. *Israel Journal of Foreign Affairs*, 16(3), 330-349. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23739770.2022.2170091>